MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

WILLIAM G FRANKLIN MD 4303 VICTORY DRIVE AUSTIN TX 78704

Respondent Name

HARTFORD INSURANCE COMPANY

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-13-1806-01

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number: 47

MFDR Date Received

MARCH 15, 2013

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "I have faxed this bill to CCMSI three different times. Per Cindy with CCMSI claim denied for DOS 09/14/12 due to time limit for filing claim had been expired and Per [sic] Cindy NO BILL is on file for DOS 11/01/12. However, I have confirmation that on both occasions faxes were received. This claim was original sent with numerous other office visits. As you can see some were received and paid and some were not, yet they were all sent at the same time and to the same fax number."

Amount in Dispute: \$221.33

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: The insurance carrier or its agent did not submit a response to the request for medical fee dispute resolution.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 4, 2012 November 11, 2012	CPT Code 99213	\$221.33	\$221.33

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for health care providers to pursue a medical fee dispute.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20 sets out the procedures for health care providers to submit workers' compensation medical bills for reimbursement.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out the rules for non-Commission communications.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §408.027 sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 29 Time limit for filing claim/bill has expired

• B20 – Srvc partially/fully furnished by another provider.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the timely filing deadline applicable to the medical bills for the services in dispute?
- 2. Did the requestor forfeit the right to reimbursement for the services in dispute?

Findings

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20(b) states, in pertinent part, that, except as provided in Texas Labor Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states, in pertinent part, that "Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment." 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h) states that "Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on: (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery, or electronic transmission or, (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday." Review of the submitted information finds invoice notes and fax confirmation sheets to support that medical bills were submitted within 95 days from the date the services were provided. Therefore, pursuant to Texas Labor Code §408.027(a), the requestor in this medical fee dispute has not forfeited the right to reimbursement due to untimely submission of the medical bill for the services in dispute.
- 2. Documentation submitted by the requestor supports reimbursement as follows:
 - CPT Code 99233 (54.86 ÷ 34.0376) x \$70.18 x 2 unit Requestor is seeking \$221.33 for the services in dispute. As such, the requestor is due reimbursement of \$221.33.

Conclusion

Authorized Signature

Signature

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$221.33.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$221.33 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

	October 10, 2013

Date

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.